

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND**

BRIAN DONNELLY *
119 North West Creek Drive
Stevensville, Maryland 21666 *

Plaintiff *

v. *

SLINGSHOT SPORTS LLC *
40 SW Cascade Avenue, Suite 450
PO Box 759 *
Stevenson, Washington 98648 *

Serve On: Registered Agent:

Jeffrey Logosz *
65435 Highway 14
PO Box 477 *
White Salmon, Washington 98672 *

Defendant *

* * * * * * * * * * *

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, Brian Donnelly, by and through his attorneys, Paul D. Bekman, Gregory G. Hopper, and Salsbury, Clements, Bekman, Marder & Adkins, L.L.C., hereby sues Defendant, Slingshot Sports LLC, and, in support thereof, states as follows:

PARTIES AND JURISDICTION

1. Brian Donnelly was seriously injured on August 21, 2004 on navigable waters off the coast of Dewey Beach, Delaware while using 2004 Slingshot Fuel kiteboarding system developed, designed, tested, manufactured, assembled, sold, distributed, and placed into the stream of commerce by Slingshot Sports LLC. Mr. Donnelly alleges that the Slingshot Fuel was defective and unreasonably dangerous due to problems with its design and manufacture.

2. Plaintiff, Brian Donnelly, is a resident of the State of Maryland.

3. Defendant, Slingshot Sports LLC, is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Washington that designs, manufactures, assembles, distributes, and sells kiteboarding and other maritime recreational equipment traditionally used on navigable waters.

4. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under the admiralty and maritime provision found in 28 U.S.C. 1333(1) and the diversity of citizenship provision found in 28 U.S.C. 1332. The amount in controversy in this action exceeds \$75,000 (Seventy Five Thousand Dollars).

5. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(a).

FACTS IN COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

6. On August 24, 2004, Brian Donnelly was using a Slingshot Fuel 15 kite with a Slingshot Profire bar in the navigable waters off the coast of Dewey Beach, Delaware when

he was lofted high into the air by a gust of wind, carried back towards shore, and then dropped with great force in a tidal marsh. In addition to the fear and upset he faced, Mr. Donnelly suffered a number of physical injuries including, but not limited to, multiple spinal fractures of the cervical and thoracic spine, multiple rib fractures, bilateral hemothorax, left pneumothorax, and fractures of his clavicle and scapula.

7. Defendant, Slingshot Sports LLC, developed, designed, tested, manufactured, assembled, inspected, distributed, and sold the Slingshot Fuel that Brian Donnelly was using and injured by as part of its regular business.

8. The Slingshot Fuel was expected to reach and did reach the hands of Brian Donnelly without substantial change in the condition in which it was designed, manufactured, assembled, distributed, and sold.

9. Defendant, Slingshot Sports LLC, knew that the Slingshot Fuel would be used without inspection for defects and represented that it could be safely used and would be fit for the ordinary purposes for which it was purchased.

10. At all times relevant to this action, Brian Donnelly used the Slingshot Fuel for the purpose in which it was designed, manufactured, assembled, distributed, and sold and in a reasonable, foreseeable, and anticipated manner.

COUNT I: STRICT LIABILITY

11. Plaintiff, Brian Donnelly, hereby reasserts and incorporates by reference the statements and allegations in paragraphs 1 through 10 as if fully set forth herein.

12. At all times relevant to this action, including but not limited to the time Defendant, Slingshot Sports LLC, designed, manufactured, assembled, distributed, sold, and placed the Slingshot Fuel kiteboarding system into the stream of commerce and when it was used by Brian Donnelly in a reasonable, foreseeable, and anticipated manner, it was defective and unreasonably dangerous because it:

- a. was defectively designed;
- b. was defectively manufactured;
- c. did not incorporate adequate safety mechanisms or release systems to allow users or operators of the kiteboarding system to depower or collapse their kites to prevent injuries in foreseeable lift-off or lofting situations;
- d. did not incorporate adequate safety mechanisms or release systems to allow the users or operators of the kiteboarding system to release or disconnect themselves from the bar to prevent injuries in foreseeable lift-off or lofting situations;
- e. did not provide adequate or complete warnings to purchasers, operators, and/or users about the dangers, hazards, or injury producing potential of lift-off or lofting situations;
- f. did not provide adequate or complete to purchasers, operators, and/or users about the need for adequate safety mechanisms or release systems to prevent injuries in foreseeable lift-off or lofting situations; and
- g. was otherwise defective and unreasonably dangerous.

13. As a direct and proximate result of the defective and dangerous condition of the Slingshot Fuel kiteboarding system, Brian Donnelly suffered pre-impact fright and suffered and, for the remainder of his life, will suffer severe and permanent bodily injury, physical pain and suffering, mental anguish, emotional injury and trauma, disfigurement, humiliation, inconvenience, physical impairment, economic damages including medical and other expenses, lost wages, lost earnings capacity, and other related injuries and harms.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Brian Donnelly, hereby demands judgment against Defendant, Slingshot Sports LLC, for compensatory damages in the amount of Ten Million Dollars (\$10,000,000) plus interest and the costs of this action.

COUNT II: NEGLIGENCE

14. Plaintiff, Brian Donnelly, hereby reasserts and incorporates by reference the statements and allegations in paragraphs 1 through 10 as if fully set forth herein.

15. Defendant, Slingshot Sports LLC, as a designer, developer, manufacturer, tester, distributor, and seller of the Slingshot Fuel kiteboarding system, owed a duty to those who would use or otherwise come into contact with its products to exercise due care, ensure that its products were free of any defects that would make them unreasonably dangerous for use in a reasonable, foreseeable, and anticipated manner, and provide adequate and complete warnings of the nature of any such dangers.

16. At all times relevant to this action, including the time it designed, manufactured, and sold the Slingshot Fuel kiteboarding system and before and at the time

when Brian Donnelly used the Slingshot Fuel on August 21, 2004, Defendant, Slingshot Sports LLC, knew or should have known that it was defective and unreasonably dangerous based upon the information known or knowable to it.

17. Defendant, Slingshot Sports LLC, breached its duty and was careless and negligent in that it:

- a. failed to adequately and safely design, test, and manufacture the Slingshot Fuel kiteboarding system;
- b. failed to incorporate adequate safety mechanisms or release systems in the Slingshot Fuel kiteboarding system to allow users or operators to depower or collapse their kites to prevent injuries in foreseeable lift-off or lofting situations;
- c. failed to incorporate adequate safety mechanisms or release systems in the Slingshot Fuel kiteboarding system to allow the users or operators to release or disconnect themselves from the bar to prevent injuries in foreseeable lift-off or lofting situations;
- d. failed to provide adequate and complete warnings to purchasers, operators, and/or users of the Slingshot Fuel kiteboarding system about the dangers, hazards, or injury producing potential of lift-off or lofting situations;
- e. failed to provide adequate and complete warnings to purchasers, operators, and/or users of the Slingshot Fuel kiteboarding system about the need for adequate safety mechanisms or release systems to prevent injuries in foreseeable lift-off or lofting situations;

f. failed to provide adequate and complete post-sale warnings to purchasers, operators, and/or users of the Slingshot Fuel kiteboarding system about the dangers, hazards, or injury producing potential of lift-off or lofting situations;

g. failed to provide adequate and complete post-sale warnings to purchasers, operators, and/or users of the Slingshot Fuel kiteboarding system about the need for adequate safety mechanisms or release systems to prevent injuries in foreseeable lift-off or lofting situations;

h. failed to provide adequate and complete post-sale warnings to purchasers, operators, and/or users of the Slingshot Fuel kiteboarding system about the need to add adequate safety mechanisms or release systems to prevent injuries in foreseeable lift-off or lofting situations; and

i. failed to comply with the applicable standard of care and was otherwise careless and negligent.

18. As a direct and proximate result of the carelessness and negligence of the Defendant, Slingshot Sports LLC, Brian Donnelly suffered pre-impact fright and suffered and, for the remainder of his life, will suffer severe and permanent bodily injury, physical pain and suffering, mental anguish, emotional injury and trauma, disfigurement, humiliation, inconvenience, physical impairment, economic damages including medical and other expenses, lost wages, lost earnings capacity, and other related injuries and harms.

19. All of Brian Donnelly's injuries and damages were caused by the wrongful acts and omissions of the Defendant, Slingshot Sports LLC, without any negligence on his part contributing thereto.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Brian Donnelly, hereby demands judgment against Defendant, Slingshot Sports LLC, for compensatory damages in the amount of Ten Million Dollars (\$10,000,000) plus interest and the costs of this action.

COUNT III: BREACH OF WARRANTY

20. Plaintiff, Brian Donnelly, hereby reasserts and incorporates by reference the statements and allegations in paragraphs 1 through 10 as if fully set forth herein.

21. Defendant, Slingshot Sports LLC, designed, developed, manufactured, tested, distributed, and sold the Slingshot Fuel kiteboarding system and held it out to Brian Donnelly and other members of the general public as a safe kiteboard system, free of any defects that make it unreasonably dangerous for use in a reasonable, foreseeable, and anticipated manner in a manner – actions and representations that created and amounted to implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose.

22. Defendant, Slingshot Sports LLC, breached its implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose with respect to its Slingshot Fuel kiteboarding system because it was sold in a defective and unreasonably dangerous condition without incorporating adequate safety mechanisms or release systems to allow users or operators of the kiteboarding system to depower or collapse their kites to prevent injuries in

foreseeable lift-off or lofting situations or adequate safety mechanisms or release systems to allow the users or operators of the kiteboarding system to release or disconnect themselves from the bar to prevent injuries in foreseeable lift-off or lofting situations and/or did not give adequate and complete warnings to purchasers, operators, and users about the dangers, hazards, or injury producing potential of lift-off or lofting situations or the need for adequate safety mechanisms or release systems to prevent injuries in foreseeable lift-off or lofting situations.

23. At all times relevant to this action, including the time it designed, manufactured, and sold the Slingshot Fuel kiteboarding system and before and at the time when Brian Donnelly used the Slingshot Fuel on August 21, 2004, Defendant, Slingshot Sports LLC, knew or should have known that it was defective and unreasonably dangerous based upon the information known or knowable to it.

24. As a direct and proximate result of the actions or omissions of Defendant, Slingshot Sports LLC, in breaching its implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose with respect to its Slingshot Fuel kiteboarding system, Brian Donnelly suffered pre-impact fright and suffered and, for the remainder of his life, will suffer severe and permanent bodily injury, physical pain and suffering, mental anguish, emotional injury and trauma, disfigurement, humiliation, inconvenience, physical impairment, economic damages including medical and other expenses, lost wages, lost earnings capacity, and other related injuries and harms.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Brian Donnelly, hereby demands judgment against Defendant, Slingshot Sports LLC, for compensatory damages in the amount of Ten Million Dollars (\$10,000,000) plus interest and the costs of this action.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul D. Bekman
Gregory G. Hopper
Salsbury, Clements, Bekman, Marder & Adkins
300 W. Pratt Street, Suite 450
Baltimore, Maryland 21201
(410) 539-6633

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS	
Brian Donnelly		Slingshot Sports, LLC	
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) 88888		COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED	
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER) Gregory G. Hopper, Esquire Salsbury, Clements, Bekman, Marder & Adkins 300 W. Pratt Street, Suite 450 Baltimore, Maryland 21201		ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN) BY _____ DEPUTY _____	
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY)		III CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACE AN X IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) FOR DIVERSITY CASES ONLY!	
1 U.S. Government 3 Federal Question Plaintiff(U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citizen of this State X 1 1	PTF DFT Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in This State 4 4
2 U.S. Government X 4 Diversity Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in item III)		Citizen of Another State 2 2	PTF DFT Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State 5 X5
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country 3 3	PTF DFT Foreign Nation 6 6
IV. CASE ASSIGNMENT AND NATURE OF SUIT (Place a X in one category, A-N, that best represents your cause of action and one in a corresponding Nature of Suit)			
A. Antitrust 410 Antitrust	B. Personal Injury/ Malpractice 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers Liability 340 Marine X 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Medical Malpractice 365 Product Liability 368 Asbestos Product Liability	C. Administrative Agency Review 151 Medicare Act Social Security: 861 HIA ((1395ff)) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) Other Statutes 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 890 Other Statutory Actions (If Administrative Agency is Involved)	D. Temporary Restraining Order/Preliminary Injunction Any nature of suit from any category may be selected for this category of case assignment. *(If Antitrust, then A governs)*
E. General Civil (Other)		OR	F. Pro Se General Civil

FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
2007 JUL 24 PM
CLERK'S OFFICE
AT BALTIMORE
BY _____ DEPUTY _____

Real Property

Case 1:08-cv-00082-JDF Document 1-2 Filed 07/24/2007

- 210 Land Condemnation
- 220 Foreclosure
- 230 Rent, Lease & Ejectment
- 240 Torts to Land
- 245 Tort Product Liability
- 290 All Other Real Property

Personal Property

- 370 Other Fraud
- 371 Truth in Lending
- 380 Other Personal Property Damage
- 385 Property Damage Product Liability

Prisoner Petitions

- 535 Death Penalty
- 540 Mandamus & Other
- 550 Civil Rights
- 555 Prison Condition

Property Rights

- 820 Copyrights
- 830 Patent
- 840 Trademark

Federal Tax Suits

- 870 Taxes (US plaintiff or defendant)
- 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609

Forfeiture/Seizure

- 610 Agriculture
- 620 Other Food & Drug
- 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881
- 630 Liquor Laws
- 640 RR & Truck
- 650 Airline Regs
- 660 Occupational Safety/Health
- 690 Other

Other Statutes

- 400 State Reapportionment
- 430 Banks & Banking
- 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.
- 460 Deportation

70 Racketeering & Influenced & Corrupt Organizations

- 480 Consumer Credit
- 490 Cable/Satellite TV
- 810 Selective Service
- 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
- 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410
- 900 Appeal of fee determination under equal access to Justice
- 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
- 890 Other Statutory Actions (if not administrative agency review or Privacy Act)

G. Habeas Corpus/ 2255

530 Habeas Corpus-General
510 Motion/Vacate Sentence

H. Employment Discrimination

442 Civil Rights-Employment (criteria: race, gender/sex, national origin, discrimination, disability age, religion, retaliation)

(If pro se, select this deck)

I. FOIA/PRIVACY ACT

895 Freedom of Information Act
890 Other Statutory Actions (if Privacy Act)

J. Student Loan

152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (excluding veterans)

K. Labor/ERISA (non-employment)

710 Fair Labor Standards Act
720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations
730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act
740 Labor Railway Act
790 Other Labor Litigation
791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act

L. Other Civil Rights (non-employment)

441 Voting (if not Voting Rights Act)
443 Housing/Accommodations
444 Welfare
440 Other Civil Rights
445 American w/Disabilities Employment
446 Americans w/Disabilities-Other

M. Contract

110 Insurance
120 Marine
130 Miller Act
140 Negotiable Instrument
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits
160 Stockholder's Suits
190 Other Contracts
195 Contract Product Liability
196 Franchise

N. Three-Judge Court

441 Civil Rights-Voting (if Voting Rights Act)

V. ORIGIN

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 Original	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 Removed	<input type="checkbox"/> 3 Remanded from Appellate Court	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 Reinstated	<input type="checkbox"/> 5 Transferred from another district	<input type="checkbox"/> 6 Multi district	<input type="checkbox"/> 7 Appeal to District Judge
Proceeding	from State	Appellate Court	or Reopened	Litigation	Court	(specify)

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE.)

VIII. RELATED CASE(S)
IF ANY

(See instruction)

YES

X NO If yes, please complete related case form.

DATE

7/24/07

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

Gregory L. Hopper Jr.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET JS-44
Authority for Civil Cover Sheet

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. Listed below are tips for completing the civil cover sheet. These tips coincide with the Roman Numerals on the Cover Sheet.

- I. COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF/DEFENDANT (b) County of residence: Use 11001 to indicate plaintiff is resident of Washington, D.C.; 88888 if plaintiff is resident of the United States but not of Washington, D.C., and 99999 if plaintiff is outside the United States.
- III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES: This section is completed only if diversity of citizenship was selected as the Basis of Jurisdiction under Section II.
- IV. CASE ASSIGNMENT AND NATURE OF SUIT: The assignment of a judge to your case will depend on the category you select that best represents the primary cause of action found in your complaint. You may select only one category. You must also select one corresponding nature of suit found under the category of case.
- VI. CAUSE OF ACTION: Cite the US Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of the primary cause.
- VIII. RELATED CASES, IF ANY: If you indicated that there is a related case, you must complete a related case form, which may be obtained from the Clerk's Office.

Because of the need for accurate and complete information, you should ensure the accuracy of the information provided prior to signing the form.